

Does Not Meet AYP

2009-2010 Adequate Yearly Growth (AYG)

AMOs: Reading/Writing/Language:

77.18%

Does Not Meet Growth

Mathematics:

66.09%

School District: **Dillingham City School District**

School: **Dillingham Elementary**

Group	"Full Academic Year"	Performance on the Language Arts (LA=R+W) Composite (STATUS + GROWTH Score)				Performance on the Mathematics Score (STATUS + GROWTH Score)				4 AAC 06.845(c)...(13)	
		Number of FAY Students Proficient in Language Arts Score (STATUS ONLY) (R+W=LA)	Number of FAY Students On Track to Proficiency in Language Arts Score (R+W=LA) (GROWTH ONLY)	Percent Proficient in Language Arts (STATUS + GROWTH)	Meet AMO for Language Arts Comp. Score? (STATUS + GROWTH)	Number of FAY Students Proficient on the Math Score (STATUS ONLY)	Number of FAY Students On Track to Proficiency in Math (GROWTH ONLY)	Percent Proficient in Math (STATUS + GROWTH)	Meet AMO for Math Score? (STATUS + GROWTH)	Language Arts	Math
If a subgroup (not "the Whole School") has 20 or fewer students enrolled (A), then fill in "NA" for the row, 25 or fewer in (D) then fill in "N/A" for cells D-J.	Number Tested enrolled for "Full Academic Year" (FAY) (Grades 3-10)									Number of Proficient Students in 2009 and 2010 whose scores declined where regression to the mean was not the cause	
School as a Whole	106	67	5	67.92%	No	65	1	62.26%	No	17	16
African American	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Alaska Native and American Indian	92	53	5	63.04%	No	53	1	58.70%	No	14	12
Asian	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Caucasian	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Multi-Ethnic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	80	44	5	61.25%	No	41	1	52.50%	No	12	11
Students with Disabilities	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
LEP Students*	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Meets Participation Rate

Meets Other Indicator

* LEP Subgroup includes former LEP students that are being monitored for up to two years.

^ Results are suppressed to protect individual confidentiality.

Does Not Meet AYP

2009-2010 Adequate Yearly Growth (AYG)

AMOs: Reading/Writing/Language:

77.18%

Does Not Meet Growth

Mathematics:

66.09%

School District: **Dillingham City School District**

School: **Dillingham Middle/High School**

Group	"Full Academic Year"	Performance on the Language Arts (LA=R+W) Composite (STATUS + GROWTH Score)				Performance on the Mathematics Score (STATUS + GROWTH Score)				4 AAC 06.845(c)...(13)	
		Number of FAY Students Proficient in Language Arts Score (STATUS ONLY) (R+W=LA)	Number of FAY Students On Track to Proficiency in Language Arts Score (R+W=LA) (GROWTH ONLY)	Percent Proficient in Language Arts (STATUS + GROWTH)	Meet AMO for Language Arts Comp. Score? (STATUS + GROWTH)	Number of FAY Students Proficient on the Math Score (STATUS ONLY)	Number of FAY Students On Track to Proficiency in Math (GROWTH ONLY)	Percent Proficient in Math (STATUS + GROWTH)	Meet AMO for Math Score? (STATUS + GROWTH)	Language Arts	Math
If a subgroup (not "the Whole School") has 20 or fewer students enrolled (A), then fill in "NA" for the row, 25 or fewer in (D) then fill in "N/A" for cells D-J.	Number Tested enrolled for "Full Academic Year" (FAY) (Grades 3-10)									Number of Proficient Students in 2009 and 2010 whose scores declined where regression to the mean was not the cause	
School as a Whole	157	84	3	55.41%	No	74	0	47.13%	No	36	29
African American	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Alaska Native and American Indian	127	60	2	48.82%	No	50	0	39.37%	No	24	22
Asian	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Caucasian	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Multi-Ethnic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	122	59	2	50.00%	No	50	0	40.98%	No	22	20
Students with Disabilities	28	7	0	25.00%	No	4	0	14.29%	No	4	^
LEP Students*	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Meets Participation Rate

Meets Other Indicator

* LEP Subgroup includes former LEP students that are being monitored for up to two years.

^ Results are suppressed to protect individual confidentiality.